



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/709,759	11/09/2000	Yee S. Liaw	644-001	4751
75	90 07/25/2005		EXAMINER	
Ward & Olivo			NGUYEN, DUSTIN	
382 Springfield Avenue Summit, NJ 07901			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2154	
	·		DATE MAIL ED: 07/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·-					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/709,759	LIAW ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dustin Nguyen	2154			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	:orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 13 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee.	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO and the appropriate extension fee have The appropriate extension fee under 37			
CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	s after the mailing date of the final rejection	on, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on 13 July 2005. A brief is date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37, CFR 41.37(a)), or appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl AMENDMENTS 	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 	•	educing or simplifying the issues for			
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	·				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .		ill be entered and an explanation of			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>4-28</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fails to provide a See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper FOLLANSBEE / PATENT EXAMINER DGY CENTER 2100	No(s)			

p

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitation of amended claim 4, "wherein said user interface device comprises an amplification circuit for automatically amplifying said transmitted video signals based on at least a synchronization signal transmitted with a component of said video signal", would require further consideration and/or search.